	Case 1:23-cv-00938-JLT-CDB Docume	ent 20 Filed 09/27/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL	Case No. 1:23-cv-00938-JLT-CDB
12	DIVERSITY, et al.	ORDER ON STIPULATION SETTING
13	Plaintiffs,	BRIEFING SCHEDULE
14	v.	(Doc. 16)
15	U.S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, et al.	
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants.	
18	On June 22, 2023, Plaintiffs Center for Biological Diversity, the Wilderness Society,	
19	Friends of the Earth, and the Natural Resources Defense Council ("Plaintiffs") filed a complaint	
20	seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against Defendants U.S. Bureau of Land Management	
21	("BLM"), Debra Haaland, Karen Mouritsen, Gabriel Garcia, John Hodge (the "Federal	
22	Defendants"), and the California Resources Production Corporation ("CRPC"). (Doc. 1). The	
23	Federal Defendants have indicated they intend to file a motion for voluntary remand. (Docs. 13,	
24	15).	
25	Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulated request to set a briefing schedule	
26	governing the Federal Defendants' anticipated motion for voluntary remand. Accordingly, in light	
27	of the joint stipulation, and good cause appearing, it is HEREBY ORDERED:	
28	1. Federal Defendants shall file their	motion for voluntary remand on or before November

	Case 1.23-cv-00938-JET-CDB Document 20 Filed 09/27/23 Page 2 01 2	
1	7, 2023;	
2	2. The time by which Plaintiffs and Defendant CRPC shall file an opposition or statemen	
3	of non-opposition to any motion for voluntary remand is extended to on or before	
4	December 19, 2023 (see Local Rule 230(c));	
5	3. Federal Defendants may file a reply on or before January 9, 2024;	
6	4. The memorandums in support of and in response to the motion for voluntary remand	
7	shall be limited to twenty-five pages. Any reply in support of the motion for voluntary	
8	remand shall be limited to twenty pages; and	
9	5. The Court acknowledges the parties' agreement that the following documents are par	
10	of the administrative record for purposes of this motion: the BLM's decision record	
11	Environmental Assessment, and Finding of No Significant Impact for the six wel	
12	approvals identified in the Complaint (Doc. 1) and Plaintiffs' comment letter and	
13	attachments submitted to BLM regarding the same six well approvals.	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: September 27, 2023	
16	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2728		
40		